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Objective
To compare knowledge and practices surrounding third trimester screening of fetal growth restriction (FGR) in low risk pregnancies among Portuguese Gynecologists/Obstetricians (GOs) and General Practitioners (GPs).

Methods
We have conducted a prospective, observational cohort study based on application of surveys to GOs and GPs. Questionnaires were sent by e-mail to physicians and they filled them online. A second reminder e-mail was sent 7 days later. Recruitment was also done personally at scientific meetings. Primary outcome was to compare the proportion of GOs that consider the need of a third trimester ultrasound (estimation of fetal weight) for screening of FGR in low risk pregnancies and the best time to perform it with the corresponding proportion of GPs.

Results
A total of 573 surveys were available for analysis, 298 corresponded to GOs and 275 to GPs. The vast majority of GOs and GPs (93%) considered that third trimester ultrasound is useful and needed for surveillance of low risk pregnancy.

Best time to perform third trimester ultrasound

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GOs</th>
<th>GPs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35th-37th weeks</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30th-33rd weeks</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p < 0.001

Conclusion
Varied opinions among the clinicians included in our sample reflect the controversy that remains on the best screening of FGR in low risk pregnancies.